

THE NONLOCAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR STRONGLY SINGULAR HIGHER-ORDER NONLINEAR FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Sulkhan Mukhigulashvili

1. *Faculty of Business and Management*
Brno University of Technology
Kolejní 2906/4, 612 00 Brno
Czech Republic

2. *Mathematical Institute*
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Žitkova 22, 616 62 Brno
Czech Republic
e-mail: smukhig@gmail.com

Abstract. A priori boundedness principle is proven for the nonlocal boundary value problems for strongly singular higher-order nonlinear functional-differential equations. Several sufficient conditions of solvability of the Dirichlet problem under consideration are derived from the a priori boundedness principle. The proof of the a priori boundedness principle is based on the Agarwal–Kiguradze type theorems, which guarantee the existence of the Fredholm property for strongly singular higher-order linear differential equations with argument deviations under the nonlocal boundary conditions.

Key words and phrases: Higher order functional-differential equations, Dirichlet boundary value problem, strong singularity, Fredholm property, a priori boundedness principle.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K06, 34K10.

1. Statement of the main results

1.1. Statement of the problem and a survey of the literature. Consider the functional differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad u^{(2m+1)}(t) = F(u)(t)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$(1.2) \quad \int_a^b u(s) d\varphi(s) = 0$$

where $\varphi(b) - \varphi(a) \neq 0$, $u^{(i)}(a) = 0$, $u^{(i)}(b) = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Here, $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$, $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a function of bounded variation, and the operator F acting from the set of $(m-1)$ -th time continuously differentiable on $]a, b[$ functions, to the set $L_{loc}([a, b])$. By $u^{(i)}(a)$ ($u^{(i)}(b)$), we denote the right (the left) limit of the function $u^{(i)}$ at the point a (b).

The problem is singular in the sense that for an arbitrary x the right-hand side of equation (1.1) may have nonintegrable singularities at the points a and b .

Throughout the paper we use the following notations:

$$R^+ = [0, +\infty[;$$

$$[x]_+ \text{ the positive part of number } x, \text{ that is } [x]_+ = \frac{x+|x|}{2};$$

$L_{loc}([a, b[)$ ($L_{loc}([a, b])$) is the space of functions $y :]a, b[\rightarrow R$, which are integrable on $[a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$ for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$;

$L_{\alpha, \beta}([a, b[)$ ($L_{\alpha, \beta}^2([a, b])$) is the space of integrable (square integrable) with the weight $(t-a)^\alpha(b-t)^\beta$ functions $y :]a, b[\rightarrow R$, with the norm

$$\|y\|_{L_{\alpha, \beta}} = \int_a^b (s-a)^\alpha(b-s)^\beta |y(s)| ds \quad \left(\|y\|_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^2} = \left(\int_a^b (s-a)^\alpha(b-s)^\beta y^2(s) ds \right)^{1/2} \right);$$

$$L([a, b]) = L_{0,0}([a, b]), \quad L^2([a, b]) = L_{0,0}^2([a, b]);$$

$M([a, b])$ is the set of the measurable functions $\tau :]a, b[\rightarrow]a, b[$;

$\tilde{L}_{\alpha, \beta}^2([a, b])$ ($\tilde{L}_\alpha^2([a, b])$) is the Banach space of $y \in L_{loc}([a, b])$ ($L_{loc}([a, b])$) functions, with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{\tilde{L}_{\alpha, \beta}^2} &\equiv \max \left\{ \left[\int_a^t (s-a)^\alpha \left(\int_s^t y(\xi) d\xi \right)^2 ds \right]^{1/2} : a \leq t \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ \left[\int_t^b (b-s)^\beta \left(\int_t^s y(\xi) d\xi \right)^2 ds \right]^{1/2} : \frac{a+b}{2} \leq t \leq b \right\} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

$L_m([a, b])$ is the Banach space of $y \in L_{loc}([a, b])$ functions, with the norm

$$\|y\|_{L_m} = \sup \left\{ [(s-a)(b-t)]^{m-1/2} \int_s^t |y(\xi)| d\xi : a < s \leq t < b \right\} < +\infty.$$

$C_{loc}^m([a, b])$, ($\tilde{C}_{loc}^{m-1}([a, b])$) is the space of the functions $y :]a, b[\rightarrow R$, which are continuous (absolutely continuous) together with $y', y'', \dots, y^{(n-1)}$ on $[a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$ for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$.

$\tilde{C}^{n,m}([a, b])$ ($m \leq n$) is the space of the functions $y \in \tilde{C}_{loc}^m([a, b])$, such that

$$(1.3) \quad \int_a^b |x^{(m)}(s)|^2 ds < +\infty.$$

$C_2^m([a, b[)$ is the Banach space of the functions $y \in C_{loc}^m([a, b[)$, such that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow a} \frac{|x^{(i)}(t)|}{(t-a)^{m-i+1/2}} &< +\infty \quad (i = 1, \dots, m), \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow b} \frac{|x^{(i)}(t)|}{(b-t)^{m-i+1/2}} &< +\infty \quad (i = 1, \dots, m), \end{aligned}$$

with the norm:

$$\|x\|_{C_2^m} = \|x\|_C + \sum_{i=1}^m \sup \left\{ \frac{|x^{(i)}(t)|}{\alpha_i(t)} : a < t < b \right\},$$

where $\alpha_i(t) = (t-a)^{m-i+1/2}(b-t)^{m-i+1/2}$.

$\tilde{C}_2^m([a, b[)$ is the Banach space of the functions $y \in \tilde{C}_{loc}^m([a, b[)$, such that conditions $\left(\int_a^b |x^{(m+1)}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} < +\infty$ and (1.4) hold, with the norm:

$$\|x\|_{\tilde{C}_2^m} = \|x\|_{C_1^m} + \left(\int_a^b |x^{(m+1)}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

$D_n([a, b[\times R^+)$ is the set of such functions $\delta :]a, b[\times R^+ \rightarrow L_n([a, b[)$ that $\delta(t, \cdot) : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is nondecreasing for every $t \in]a, b[$, and $\delta(\cdot, \rho) \in L_n([a, b[)$ for any $\rho \in R^+$.

$D_{2m-2, 2m-2}([a, b[\times R^+)$ is the set of such functions $\delta :]a, b[\times R^+ \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{2m-2, 2m-2}^2([a, b[)$ that $\delta(t, \cdot) : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is nondecreasing for every $t \in]a, b[$, and $\delta(\cdot, \rho) \in \tilde{L}_{2m-2, 2m-2}^2([a, b[)$ for any $\rho \in R^+$.

A solution of problem (1.1), (1.2) is sought in the space $\tilde{C}^{2m, m+1}([a, b[)$.

The singular ordinary differential and functional-differential equations, have been studied with sufficient completeness under different boundary conditions, see for example [1], [2], [4] – [14], [17], [25]–[29] and the references cited therein. But the equation (1.1), under the boundary condition (1.2), is not studied even in the case when equation (1.1) has the form

$$(1.5) \quad x^{(2m+1)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(t) x^{(j)}(\tau_j(t)) + f(x)(t),$$

where the singularity of the functions $p_j : L_{loc}([a, b])$ be such that the inequalities

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_a^b (s-a)^{2m-1} (b-s)^{2m-1} [(-1)^{n-m} p_1(s)]_+ ds &< +\infty, \\ \int_a^b (s-a)^{2m-j} (b-s)^{2m-j} |p_j(s)| ds &< +\infty \quad (j = 2, \dots, m), \end{aligned}$$

are not fulfilled (in this case we said that the linear part of the operator F is a strongly singular), the operator f continuously acting from $C_2^m([a, b])$ to $L_{2m-2, 2m-2}^2([a, b])$, and the inclusion

$$(1.7) \quad \sup\{f(x)(t) : \|x\|_{C_2^m} \leq \rho\} \in \tilde{L}_{2m-2, 2m-2}^2([a, b]).$$

holds. The first step in studying of the differential equations with strong singularities was made by R.P. Agarwal and I. Kiguradze in the article [3], where the linear ordinary differential equations under conditions (1.2), in the case when the functions p_j have strong singularities at the points a and b , are studied. Also the ordinary differential equations with strong singularities under two-point boundary conditions are studied in the articles of I. Kiguradze [15], [16], and N. Partsvania [24]. In the papers [20], [21] these results are generalized for linear differential equation with deviating arguments i.e., are proven the Agarwal-Kiguradze type theorems, which guarantee Fredholm's property for linear differential equation with deviating arguments.

In this paper, on the basis of articles [3] and [19], we prove a priori boundedness principle for the problem (1.1), (1.2) in the case where equation (1.1) is in form (1.5).

Now, we introduce some results from articles [20], [21], which we need for this work. Consider the equation

$$(1.8) \quad u^{(2m+1)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t) u^{(j-1)}(\tau_j(t)) + q(t) \quad \text{for} \quad a < t < b.$$

By $h_j :]a, b[\times]a, b[\rightarrow R_+$ and $f_j : [a, b] \times M([a, b]) \rightarrow C_{loc}([a, b] \times]a, b[)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) we denote the functions and operator, respectively defined by the equalities

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} h_1(t, s) &= \left| \int_s^t (\xi - a)^{n-2m} [(-1)^{n-m} p_1(\xi)]_+ d\xi \right|, \\ h_j(t, s) &= \left| \int_s^t (\xi - a)^{n-2m} p_j(\xi) d\xi \right| \quad (j = 2, \dots, m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad f_j(c, \tau_j)(t, s) = \left| \int_s^t (\xi - a)^{n-2m} |p_j(\xi)| \left| \int_{\xi}^{\tau_j(\xi)} (\xi_1 - c)^{2(m-j)} d\xi_1 \right|^{1/2} d\xi \right|,$$

and also we put that

$$f_0(t, s) = \left| \int_s^t |p_0(\xi)| d\xi \right|.$$

Let $k = 2k_1 + 1$ ($k_1 \in N$), then

$$k!! = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k \leq 0, \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots k & \text{for } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, we can introduce the main theorem of the paper [20].

Theorem 1.1. *Let there exist numbers $t^* \in]a, b[$, $l_{k0} > 0$, $l_{kj} > 0$, $\bar{l}_{kj} \geq 0$, and $\gamma_{kj} > 0$ ($k = 0, 1$; $j = 1, \dots, m$) such that along with*

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} B_0 \equiv & \bar{l}_{00} \left(\frac{2^{m-1}}{(2m-3)!!} \right)^2 \frac{(b-a)^{m-1/2}}{(2m-1)^{1/2}} \frac{(t^*-a)^{\gamma_{00}}}{\sqrt{2\gamma_{00}}} \int_a^b \frac{|\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(a)| + |\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(b)|}{|\varphi(b) - \varphi(a)|} d\xi \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{(2m-j)2^{2m-j+1} l_{0j}}{(2m-1)!!(2m-2j+1)!!} + \frac{2^{2m-j-1}(t^*-a)^{\gamma_{0j}} \bar{l}_{0j}}{(2m-2j-1)!!(2m-3)!!\sqrt{2\gamma_{0j}}} \right) < \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} B_1 \equiv & \bar{l}_{10} \left(\frac{2^{m-1}}{(2m-3)!!} \right)^2 \frac{(b-a)^{m-1/2}}{(2m-1)^{1/2}} \frac{(b-t^*)^{\gamma_{10}}}{\sqrt{2\gamma_{10}}} \int_a^b \frac{|\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(a)| + |\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(b)|}{|\varphi(b) - \varphi(a)|} d\xi \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{(2m-j)2^{2m-j+1} l_{1j}}{(2m-1)!!(2m-2j+1)!!} + \frac{2^{2m-j-1}(b-t^*)^{\gamma_{1j}} \bar{l}_{1j}}{(2m-2j-1)!!(2m-3)!!\sqrt{2\gamma_{1j}}} \right) < \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

the conditions

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (t-a)^{m-\gamma_{00}-1/2} f_0(t, s) &\leq \bar{l}_{00}, & (t-a)^{2m-j} h_{j1}(t, s) &\leq l_{0j1}, \\ (t-a)^{m-\gamma_{0j}-1/2} f_j(a, \tau_j)(t, s) &\leq \bar{l}_{0j} & \text{for } a < t \leq s \leq t^*, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (b-t)^{m-\gamma_{10}-1/2} f_0(t, s) &\leq \bar{l}_{10}, & (b-t)^{2m-j} h_{j1}(t, s) &\leq l_{1j1}, \\ (b-t)^{m-\gamma_{1j}-1/2} f_j(b, \tau_j)(t, s) &\leq \bar{l}_{1j} & \text{for } t^* \leq s \leq t < b \end{aligned}$$

$j = 1, \dots, m$ hold. Then for every $q \in \tilde{L}_{2m-2, 2m-2}^2(]a, b[)$ problem (1.8), (1.2) is uniquely solvable in the space $\tilde{C}^{2m, m+1}(]a, b[)$.

Also, in [21], the following theorem is proven:

Theorem 1.2. *Let all the conditions of Theorem 1.5 are satisfied. Then the unique solution u of problem (1.8), (1.2) for every $q \in \tilde{L}_{2m-2, 2m-2}^2(]a, b[)$ admits the estimate*

$$(1.15) \quad \|u^{(m+1)}\|_{L^2} \leq r \|q\|_{\tilde{L}_{2m-2, 2m-2}^2},$$

with

$$r = \frac{2^m}{(1 - 2 \max\{B_0, B_1\})(2m-1)!!},$$

and thus constant $r > 0$ depends only on the numbers l_{kj} , \bar{l}_{kj} , γ_{kj} ($k = 0, 1$; $j = 0, \dots, m$), and a, b, t^* .