

THE DIRICHLET BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR  
STRONGLY SINGULAR HIGHER-ORDER NONLINEAR  
FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

SULKHAN MUKHIGULASHVILI, Brno

(Received December 19, 2011)

*Abstract.* The a priori boundedness principle is proved for the Dirichlet boundary value problems for strongly singular higher-order nonlinear functional-differential equations. Several sufficient conditions of solvability of the Dirichlet problem under consideration are derived from the a priori boundedness principle. The proof of the a priori boundedness principle is based on the Agarwal-Kiguradze type theorems, which guarantee the existence of the Fredholm property for strongly singular higher-order linear differential equations with argument deviations under the two-point conjugate and right-focal boundary conditions.

*Keywords:* higher order functional-differential equation, Dirichlet boundary value problem, strong singularity, Fredholm property, a priori boundedness principle

*MSC 2010:* 34K06, 34K10

## 1. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

**1.1. Statement of the problem and a survey of the literature.** Consider the functional differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad u^{(n)}(t) = F(u)(t)$$

with the two-point boundary conditions

$$(1.2) \quad u^{(i-1)}(a) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m), \quad u^{(i-1)}(b) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n - m).$$

---

This work is supported by the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (Institutional Research Plan # AV0Z10190503) and by the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (Project # GNSF/ST09\_175\_3-101).

Here  $n \geq 2$ ,  $m$  is the integer part of  $n/2$ ,  $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ , and the operator  $F$  is acting from the set of  $(m-1)$ -th time continuously differentiable on  $]a, b[$  functions to the set  $L_{\text{loc}}(]a, b[)$ . By  $u^{(j-1)}(a)$  ( $u^{(j-1)}(b)$ ) we denote the right (the left) limit of the function  $u^{(j-1)}$  at the point  $a(b)$ .

The problem is singular in the sense that for an arbitrary  $x$  the right-hand side of equation (1.41) may have nonintegrable singularities at the points  $a$  and  $b$ .

Throughout the paper we use the following notation:

- ▷  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty[$ ;
- ▷  $[x]_+$  the positive part of a number  $x$ , that is  $[x]_+ = \frac{1}{2}(x + |x|)$ ;
- ▷  $L_{\text{loc}}(]a, b[)(L_{\text{loc}}(]a, b[))$  is the space of functions  $y: ]a, b[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which are integrable on  $[a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$  for arbitrarily small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ;
- ▷  $L_{\alpha, \beta}(]a, b[)(L_{\alpha, \beta}^2(]a, b[))$  is the space of integrable (square integrable) with the weight  $(t-a)^\alpha(b-t)^\beta$  functions  $y: ]a, b[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with the norm

$$\|y\|_{L_{\alpha, \beta}} = \int_a^b (s-a)^\alpha(b-s)^\beta |y(s)| ds$$

$$\left( \|y\|_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^2} = \left( \int_a^b (s-a)^\alpha(b-s)^\beta y^2(s) ds \right)^{1/2} \right);$$

- ▷  $L([a, b]) = L_{0,0}(]a, b[), L^2([a, b]) = L_{0,0}^2(]a, b[)$ ;
- ▷  $M(]a, b[)$  is the set of measurable functions  $\tau: ]a, b[ \rightarrow ]a, b[$ ;
- ▷  $\tilde{L}_{\alpha, \beta}^2(]a, b[)(\tilde{L}_{\alpha, \beta}^2(]a, b[))$  is the Banach space of  $y \in L_{\text{loc}}(]a, b[)(L_{\text{loc}}(]a, b[))$  functions, with the norm

$$\|y\|_{\tilde{L}_{\alpha, \beta}^2} \equiv \max \left\{ \left[ \int_a^t (s-a)^\alpha \left( \int_s^t y(\xi) d\xi \right)^2 ds \right]^{1/2} : a \leq t \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \right\}$$

$$+ \max \left\{ \left[ \int_t^b (b-s)^\beta \left( \int_t^s y(\xi) d\xi \right)^2 ds \right]^{1/2} : \frac{a+b}{2} \leq t \leq b \right\} < +\infty.$$

- ▷  $L_n(]a, b[)$  is the Banach space of  $y \in L_{\text{loc}}(]a, b[)$  functions, with the norm

$$\|y\|_{\tilde{L}_{\alpha, \beta}^2} = \sup \left\{ [(s-a)(b-t)]^{m-1/2} \int_s^t (\xi-a)^{n-2m} |y(\xi)| d\xi : a < s \leq t < b \right\} < +\infty.$$

- ▷  $C_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(]a, b[), (\tilde{C}_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(]a, b[))$  is the space of functions  $y: ]a, b[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are continuous (absolutely continuous) together with  $y', y'', \dots, y^{(n-1)}$  on  $[a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon]$  for arbitrarily small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- ▷  $\tilde{C}^{n-1, m}(]a, b[)$  is the space of functions  $y \in \tilde{C}_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(]a, b[)$ , such that

$$(1.3) \quad \int_a^b |x^{(m)}(s)|^2 ds < +\infty.$$

▷  $C_1^{m-1}(]a, b[)$  is the Banach space of functions  $y \in C_{\text{loc}}^{m-1}(]a, b[)$ , such that

$$(1.4) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow a} \frac{|x^{(i-1)}(t)|}{(t-a)^{m-i+1/2}} < +\infty \quad (i = 1, \dots, m),$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow b} \frac{|x^{(i-1)}(t)|}{(b-t)^{m-i+1/2}} < +\infty \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-m),$$

with the norm:

$$\|x\|_{C_1^{m-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sup \left\{ \frac{|x^{(i-1)}(t)|}{\alpha_i(t)} : a < t < b \right\},$$

where  $\alpha_i(t) = (t-a)^{m-i+1/2}(b-t)^{m-i+1/2}$ .

▷  $\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}(]a, b[)$  is the Banach space of functions  $y \in \tilde{C}_{\text{loc}}^{m-1}(]a, b[)$ , such that conditions (1.3) and (1.4) hold, with the norm:

$$\|x\|_{\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sup \left\{ \frac{|x^{(i-1)}(t)|}{\alpha_i(t)} : a < t < b \right\} + \left( \int_a^b |x^{(m)}(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

▷  $D_n(]a, b[ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  is the set of such functions  $\delta: ]a, b[ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow L_n(]a, b[)$  that  $\delta(t, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is nondecreasing for every  $t \in ]a, b[$ , and  $\delta(\cdot, \varrho) \in L_n(]a, b[)$  for any  $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

▷  $D_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}(]a, b[ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  is the set of such functions  $\delta: ]a, b[ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2(]a, b[)$  that  $\delta(t, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is nondecreasing for every  $t \in ]a, b[$ , and  $\delta(\cdot, \varrho) \in \tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2(]a, b[)$  for any  $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

▷ A solution of problem (1.1), (1.2) is sought in the space  $\tilde{C}^{m-1, m}(]a, b[)$ .

The singular ordinary differential and functional-differential equations have been studied with sufficient completeness under different boundary conditions, see for example [1], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [13], [14], [16], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25] and the references cited therein. But the equation (1.1), even under the boundary condition (1.2), have not been studied in the case when the operator  $F$  has the form

$$(1.5) \quad F(x)(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t) x^{(j-1)}(\tau_j(t)) + f(x)(t),$$

where the singularity of the functions  $p_j: L_{\text{loc}}([a, b])$  is such that the inequalities

$$(1.6) \quad \int_a^b (s-a)^{n-1} (b-s)^{2m-1} [(-1)^{n-m} p_1(s)]_+ ds < +\infty,$$

$$\int_a^b (s-a)^{n-j} (b-s)^{2m-j} |p_j(s)| ds < +\infty \quad (j = 2, \dots, m),$$

are not fulfilled (in this case we say that the linear part of the operator  $F$  is strongly singular), the operator  $f$  is continuously acting from  $C_1^{m-1}(]a, b[)$  to  $L_{\tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2}(]a, b[)$ , and the inclusion

$$(1.7) \quad \sup\{f(x)(t): \|x\|_{C_1^{m-1}} \leq \varrho\} \in \tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2(]a, b[)$$

holds. The first step in studying the differential equations with strong singularities was made by R. P. Agarwal and I. Kiguradze in the article [2], where the linear ordinary differential equations under conditions (1.2), in the case when the functions  $p_j$  have strong singularities at the points  $a$  and  $b$ , are studied. Also the ordinary differential equations with strong singularities under two-point boundary conditions are studied in the articles of I. Kiguradze [10], [19], and N. Partsvania [20]. In the papers [18], [15] these results are generalized to linear differential equations with deviating arguments, i.e., the Agarwal-Kiguradze type theorems, which guarantee Fredholm's property for linear differential equations with deviating arguments are proved.

In this paper, on the bases of articles [2] and [17] we prove the a priori boundedness principle for the problem (1.1), (1.2) in the case when the operator has the form (1.5).

Now we introduce some results from the articles [18], [15], which we need for this work. Consider the equation

$$(1.8) \quad u^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t) u^{(j-1)}(\tau_j(t)) + q(t) \quad \text{for } a < t < b.$$

For problem (1.8), (1.2) we assume, that when  $n = 2m$ , then the conditions

$$(1.9) \quad p_j \in L_{\text{loc}}(]a, b[) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

are fulfilled and when  $n = 2m + 1$ , along with (1.9), the condition

$$(1.10) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow b} \left| (b-t)^{2m-1} \int_{t_1}^t p_1(s) ds \right| < +\infty \quad \left( t_1 = \frac{a+b}{2} \right)$$

holds.

By  $h_j: ]a, b[ \times ]a, b[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $f_j: [a, b] \times M(]a, b[) \rightarrow C_{\text{loc}}(]a, b[ \times ]a, b[)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) we denote the functions and operators, respectively, defined by the equalities

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} h_1(t, s) &= \left| \int_s^t (\xi - a)^{n-2m} [(-1)^{n-m} p_1(\xi)]_+ d\xi \right|, \\ h_j(t, s) &= \left| \int_s^t (\xi - a)^{n-2m} p_j(\xi) d\xi \right| \quad (j = 2, \dots, m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.12) \quad f_j(c, \tau_j)(t, s) = \left| \int_s^t (\xi - a)^{n-2m} |p_j(\xi)| \left| \int_\xi^{\tau_j(\xi)} (\xi_1 - c)^{2(m-j)} d\xi_1 \right|^{1/2} d\xi \right|.$$

Let  $k = 2k_1 + 1$  ( $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ), then we denote

$$k!! = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k \leq 0, \\ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \dots \cdot k & \text{for } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we can introduce the main theorem of the paper [18].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let there exist numbers  $t^* \in ]a, b[$ ,  $l_{kj} > 0$ ,  $\bar{l}_{kj} \geq 0$ , and  $\gamma_{kj} > 0$  ( $k = 0, 1; j = 1, \dots, m$ ) such that along with*

$$(1.13) \quad B_0 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{(2m-j)2^{2m-j+1}l_{0j}}{(2m-1)!!(2m-2j+1)!!} + \frac{2^{2m-j-1}(t^* - a)^{\gamma_{0j}}\bar{l}_{0j}}{(2m-2j-1)!!(2m-3)!!\sqrt{2\gamma_{0j}}} \right) < \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(1.14) \quad B_1 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{(2m-j)2^{2m-j+1}l_{1j}}{(2m-1)!!(2m-2j+1)!!} + \frac{2^{2m-j-1}(b - t^*)^{\gamma_{1j}}\bar{l}_{1j}}{(2m-2j-1)!!(2m-3)!!\sqrt{2\gamma_{1j}}} \right) < \frac{1}{2},$$

the conditions

$$(1.15) \quad (t - a)^{2m-j}h_j(t, s) \leq l_{0j}, \quad (t - a)^{m-\gamma_{0j}-1/2}f_j(a, \tau_j)(t, s) \leq \bar{l}_{0j}$$

for  $a < t \leq s \leq t^*$ , and

$$(1.16) \quad (b - t)^{2m-j}h_j(t, s) \leq l_{1j}, \quad (b - t)^{m-\gamma_{1j}-1/2}f_j(b, \tau_j)(t, s) \leq \bar{l}_{1j}$$

for  $t^* \leq s \leq t < b$  hold. Then problem (1.8), (1.2) is uniquely solvable in the space  $\tilde{C}^{n-1,m}([a, b])$ .

Also, in [15] the following theorem is proved:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 be satisfied. Then the unique solution  $u$  of problem (1.8), (1.2) for every  $q \in \tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2([a, b])$  admits the estimate*

$$(1.17) \quad \|u^{(m)}\|_{L^2} \leq r \|q\|_{\tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2},$$

with

$$r = \frac{2^m(1+b-a)(2n-2m-1)}{(\nu_n - 2 \max\{B_0, B_1\})(2m-1)!!}, \quad \nu_{2m} = 1, \quad \nu_{2m+1} = \frac{2m+1}{2},$$

and thus the constant  $r > 0$  depends only on the numbers  $l_{kj}, \bar{l}_{kj}, \gamma_{kj}$  ( $k = 1, 2; j = 1, \dots, m$ ), and  $a, b, t^*, n$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2, for every

$$q \in \tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2([a, b])$$

the unique solution  $u$  of problem (1.8), (1.2) admits the estimate

$$(1.18) \quad \|u^{(m)}\|_{\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}} \leq r_n \|q\|_{\tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2},$$

with

$$r_n = \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{2^{m-j+1/2}}{(m-j)!(2m-2j+1)^{1/2}(b-a)^{m-j+1/2}} \right) \times \frac{2^m(1+b-a)(2n-2m-1)}{(\nu_n - 2 \max\{B_0, B_1\})(2m-1)!!}.$$

### 1.2. Theorems on solvability of problem (1.1), (1.2).

Define an operator  $P: C_1^{m-1}([a, b]) \times C_1^{m-1}([a, b]) \rightarrow L_{\text{loc}}([a, b])$  by the equality

$$(1.19) \quad P(x, y)(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(x)(t) y^{(j-1)}(\tau_j(t)) \quad \text{for } a < t < b$$

where  $p_j: C_1^{m-1}([a, b]) \rightarrow L_{\text{loc}}([a, b])$ , and  $\tau_j \in M([a, b])$ . Also, for any  $\gamma > 0$  define a set  $A_\gamma$  by the relation

$$(1.20) \quad A_\gamma = \{x \in \tilde{C}_1^{m-1}([a, b]): \|x\|_{\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}} \leq \gamma\}.$$

For formulating the a priori boundedness principle we have to introduce

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma$  be positive numbers. We say that the continuous operator  $P: C_1^{m-1}(]a, b[) \times C_1^{m-1}(]a, b[) \rightarrow L_n(]a, b[)$  is  $\gamma_0, \gamma$  consistent with boundary condition (1.2) if:

(i) For any  $x \in A_{\gamma_0}$  and almost all  $t \in ]a, b[$  the inequality

$$(1.21) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m |p_j(x)(t)x^{(j-1)}(\tau_j(t))| \leq \delta(t, \|x\|_{\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}}) \|x\|_{\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}}$$

holds, where  $\delta \in D_n(]a, b[ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ .

(ii) For any  $x \in A_{\gamma_0}$  and  $q \in \tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2(]a, b[)$  the equation

$$(1.22) \quad y^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(x)(t)y^{(j-1)}(\tau_j(t)) + q(t)$$

under boundary conditions (1.2) has a unique solution  $y$  in the space  $\tilde{C}^{n-1, m}(]a, b[)$  and

$$(1.23) \quad \|y\|_{\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}} \leq \gamma \|q\|_{\tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2}.$$

**Definition 1.2.** We say that the operator  $P$  is  $\gamma$  consistent with boundary condition (1.2), if the operator  $P$  is  $\gamma_0, \gamma$  consistent with boundary condition (1.2) for any  $\gamma_0 > 0$ .

In the sequel it will always be assumed that the operator  $F_p$  defined by equality

$$F_p(x)(t) = \left| F(x)(t) - \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(x)(t)x^{(j-1)}(\tau_j(t))(t) \right|$$

is continuously acting from  $C_1^{m-1}(]a, b[)$  to  $L_{\tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2}(]a, b[)$ , and

$$(1.24) \quad \tilde{F}_p(t, \varrho) \equiv \sup\{F_p(x)(t) : \|x\|_{C_1^{m-1}} \leq \varrho\} \in \tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2(]a, b[)$$

for each  $\varrho \in [0, +\infty[$ .

Then the following theorem is valid

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let the operator  $P$  be  $\gamma_0, \gamma$  consistent with boundary condition (1.2), and let there exist a positive number  $\varrho_0 \leq \gamma_0$ , such that*

$$(1.25) \quad \|\tilde{F}_p(\cdot, \min\{2\varrho_0, \gamma_0\})\|_{\tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2} \leq \frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma}.$$

*Let, moreover, for any  $\lambda \in ]0, 1[$  an arbitrary solution  $x \in A_{\gamma_0}$  of the equation*

$$(1.26) \quad x^{(n)}(t) = (1 - \lambda)P(x, x)(t) + \lambda F(x)(t)$$

*under the conditions (1.2) admit the estimate*

$$(1.27) \quad \|x\|_{\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}} \leq \varrho_0.$$

*Then problem (1.1), (1.2) is solvable in the space  $\tilde{C}^{n-1, m}([a, b])$ .*

Theorem 1.3 with  $\varrho_0 = \gamma_0$  immediately yields

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let the operator  $P$  be  $\gamma_0, \gamma$  consistent with boundary condition (1.2), and*

$$(1.28) \quad |F(x)(t) - \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(x)(t)x^{(j-1)}(\tau_j(t))(t)| \leq \eta(t, \|x\|_{\tilde{C}_1^{m-1}})$$

*for  $x \in A_{\gamma_0}$  and almost all  $t \in ]a, b[$ , and*

$$(1.29) \quad \|\eta(\cdot, \gamma_0)\|_{\tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2} \leq \frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma},$$

*where  $\eta \in D_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ . Then problem (1.1), (1.2) is solvable in the space  $\tilde{C}^{n-1, m}([a, b])$ .*

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let the operator  $P$  be  $\gamma$  consistent with boundary condition (1.2), let inequality (1.28) hold for  $x \in \tilde{C}_1^{m-1}([a, b])$  and almost all  $t \in ]a, b[$ , where  $\eta(\cdot, \varrho) \in \tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2([a, b])$  for any  $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and*

$$(1.30) \quad \limsup_{\varrho \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varrho} \|\eta(\cdot, \varrho)\|_{\tilde{L}_{2n-2m-2, 2m-2}^2} < \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

*Then problem (1.1), (1.2) is solvable in the space  $\tilde{C}^{n-1, m}([a, b])$ .*