

ON A BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR n -TH ORDER LINEAR FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

ROBERT HAKL AND SULKHAN MUKHIGULASHVILI

Abstract. In this paper, theorems on the Fredholm alternative and well-posedness of the linear boundary value problem

$$u'(t) = \ell(u)(t) + q(t), \quad h(u) = c,$$

where $\ell : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow L([a, b]; R^n)$ and $h : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow R^n$ are linear bounded operators, $q \in L([a, b]; R^n)$, and $c \in R^n$, are established even when ℓ is not a *strongly bounded* operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K06, 34K10.

Key words and phrases: Fredholm alternative, well-posedness, functional differential systems, n -th order linear boundary value problem.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND NOTATION

The following notation is used throughout the paper:

N is the set of all natural numbers, $R =] - \infty, +\infty[$;

R^n is the space of n -dimensional column vectors $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$ with elements $x_i \in R$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and the norm

$$\|x\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|;$$

$C([a, b]; R^n)$ is the Banach space of continuous vector functions $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R^n$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_C = \max \{ \|u(t)\| : a \leq t \leq b \};$$

$\tilde{C}([a, b]; R^n)$ is the set of absolutely continuous vector functions $u : [a, b] \rightarrow R^n$;

$L([a, b]; R^n)$ is the Banach space of Lebesgue integrable vector functions $p : [a, b] \rightarrow R^n$ with the norm $\|p\|_L = \int_a^b \|p(s)\| ds$;

if $n = 1$, we write $C([a, b]; R)$ and $L([a, b]; R)$ instead of $C([a, b]; R^1)$ and $L([a, b]; R^1)$;

for any linear bounded operator $\ell : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow L([a, b]; R^n)$ (linear bounded functional $h : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow R^n$), denote by $\|\ell\|$ ($\|h\|$) its norm, and let

$$M_\ell = \left\{ y \in \tilde{C}([a, b]; R^n) : y(t) = z(a) + \int_a^t \ell(z)(s) ds, \quad z \in C([a, b]; R^n) \right\};$$

\mathcal{L}_{ab}^n is the set of linear bounded operators $\ell : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow L([a, b]; R^n)$.

$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{ab}^n$ is the set of linear strongly bounded operators, i.e., operators $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$. For each of them there exists a nonnegative function $\eta \in L([a, b]; R)$ such that

$$\|\ell(v)(t)\| \leq \eta(t)\|v\|_C \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad v \in C([a, b]; R^n).$$

On the segment $[a, b]$ consider the boundary value problem

$$u'(t) = \ell(u)(t) + q(t), \tag{0.1}$$

$$h(u) = c, \tag{0.2}$$

where $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$, $h : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow R^n$ is a linear bounded functional, $q \in L([a, b]; R^n)$, and $c \in R^n$.

By a solution of problem (0.1), (0.2) we understand a function $u \in \tilde{C}([a, b]; R^n)$ satisfying equality (0.1) almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ and condition (0.2).

Together with (0.1), (0.2) we will consider the corresponding homogeneous problem

$$u'(t) = \ell(u)(t), \quad h(u) = 0 \tag{0.1_0}$$

and, for every $k \in N$, the perturbed boundary value problem

$$u'(t) = \ell_k(u)(t) + q_k(t), \quad h_k(u) = c_k, \tag{0.3_k}$$

where $\ell_k \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$, $h_k : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow R^n$ is a linear bounded functional, $q_k \in L([a, b]; R^n)$, and $c_k \in R^n$.

From the general theory of boundary value problems for functional differential equations it is known that if $\ell \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{ab}^n$, then problem (0.1), (0.2) has a Fredholm property (see, e.g., [5, 4]), i.e., problem (0.1), (0.2) is uniquely solvable if and only if the corresponding homogeneous problem (0.1₀) has only a trivial solution, and a well-posedness property (see, e.g., [5, 4]).

In 1972, H. H. Schaefer proved that there exists an operator $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$ such that $\ell \notin \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{ab}^n$ (see [6, Theorem 4]). Therefore there naturally arises a necessity to study the Fredholm property and well-posedness of problem (0.1), (0.2) in the general case $\ell, \ell_k \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$ ($k \in N$).

The first important step in this direction was made by E. Bravyi in 2000 (see [1]), where the Fredholm property of (0.1), (0.2) was proved for $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^1$ and $h : C([a, b]; R) \rightarrow R$ (i.e. without an additional assumption that $\ell \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{ab}^1$). Bravyi's proof essentially uses Nikol'ski's theorem (see, e.g., [3, Ch. XIII, § 5, Theorem 2]) and concentrates on the question of Fredholm property.

In 2004, in the paper [2], for the scalar equation, i.e., for $\ell, \ell_k \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^1$ ($k \in N$), the Fredholm property (using the method slightly different from that in [1]) and the well-posedness of (0.1), (0.2) were proved.

In the present paper, the results obtained in [2] are generalized for systems of n -th order. More precisely, it is proved that problem (0.1), (0.2) has the Fredholm property and the property of well-posedness when $\ell, \ell_k \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$ (see Theorems 1.1 and 1.2).

The proofs of the main results are based on the results obtained in [2] (see Proposition 2.1 therein) and [5] (see Theorems 1.1.1 and 1.4.1 therein).

1. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$. Then problem (0.1), (0.2) is uniquely solvable if and only if the corresponding homogeneous problem (0.1₀) has only a trivial solution.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let problem (0.1), (0.2) have a unique solution u ,*

$$\sup \left\{ \left\| \int_a^t [\ell_k(y)(s) - \ell(y)(s)] ds \right\| : a \leq t \leq b, y \in M_{\ell_k} \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.1)$$

and let for every $y \in \tilde{C}([a, b]; R^n)$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (1 + \|\ell_k\|) \int_a^t [\ell_k(y)(s) - \ell(y)(s)] ds = 0 \text{ uniformly on } [a, b]. \quad (1.2)$$

Let, moreover,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (1 + \|\ell_k\|) \int_a^t [q_k(s) - q(s)] ds = 0 \text{ uniformly on } [a, b], \quad (1.3)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} h_k(y) = h(y) \text{ for } y \in C([a, b]; R^n), \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} c_k = c. \quad (1.5)$$

Then there exists $k_0 \in N$ such that for every $k > k_0$, problem (0.3_k) has a unique solution u_k and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_k - u\|_C = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

2. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

In [2] (see Proposition 2.1 therein), the following assertion is proved.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^1$. Then the operator $p : C([a, b]; R) \rightarrow C([a, b]; R)$ defined by*

$$p(x)(t) = \int_a^t \ell(x)(s) ds \text{ for } a \leq t \leq b \quad (2.1)$$

is compact.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$. Then the operator $p : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow C([a, b]; R^n)$ defined by equality (2.1) is compact.*

Proof. Let $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^n$ be an element of $C([a, b]; R^n)$, and $\tilde{x}_j = (0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0)$. Then from the linearity of the operator ℓ follow the representations

$$\ell(x) = (\ell_i(x))_{i=1}^n, \quad \ell_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{ij}(x_j) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where $\ell_i : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow L([a, b]; R)$, $\ell_{ij} : C([a, b]; R) \rightarrow L([a, b]; R)$, and $\ell_{ij}(x_j) = \ell_i(\tilde{x}_j)$. Since $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$, we have $\ell_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^1$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$). Thus, according to Lemma 2.1, the operators

$$p_{ij}(x)(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_a^t \ell_{ij}(x_j)(s) ds \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

are compact. Consequently, it is obvious that the operator p is also compact. \square

From Lemma 2.2 we immediately obtain

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$, the operator $p : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow C([a, b]; R^n)$ be defined by equality (2.1), and $\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \subset C([a, b]; R^n)$ be a bounded sequence. Then the sequence $\{p(\beta_k)\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ contains a uniformly convergent subsequence.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let problem (0.1₀) has only the trivial solution and let the sequences of operators $\ell_k \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$ and linear bounded functionals $h_k : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow R^n$ satisfy conditions (1.1) and (1.4). Then there exist $k_0 \in N$ and $r > 0$ such that an arbitrary $z \in \tilde{C}([a, b]; R^n)$ admits an estimate*

$$\|z\|_C \leq r \rho_k(z) \quad \text{for } k > k_0,$$

where

$$\rho_k(z) = \|h_k(z)\| + \max \left\{ (1 + \|\ell_k\|) \left\| \int_a^t [z'(s) - \ell_k(z)(s)] ds \right\| : a \leq t \leq b \right\}.$$

Proof. Note first that according to the Banach–Steinhaus theorem and condition (1.4), the sequence $\{\|h_k\|\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ is bounded, i.e., there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|h_k(y)\| \leq r_0 \|y\|_C \quad \text{for } y \in C([a, b]; R^n), \quad k \in N. \tag{2.2}$$

Put for $y \in C([a, b]; R^n)$

$$p(y)(t) = \int_a^t \ell(y)(s) ds, \quad p_k(y)(t) = \int_a^t \ell_k(y)(s) ds \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad k \in N.$$

Obviously, $p : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow C([a, b]; R^n)$ and $p_k : C([a, b]; R^n) \rightarrow C([a, b]; R^n)$ are linear bounded operators for $k \in N$ and

$$\|p_k\| \leq \|\ell_k\| \quad \text{for } k \in N. \tag{2.3}$$

By our notation, condition (1.1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sup \{ \|p_k(y) - p(y)\|_C : y \in M_{\ell_k} \} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Assume, on the contrary, that the lemma is not valid. Then there exist an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\{k_m\}_{m=1}^{+\infty}$ and a sequence of functions $z_m \in \tilde{C}([a, b]; R^n)$, $m \in N$, such that

$$\|z_m\|_C > m \rho_{k_m}(z_m) \quad \text{for } m \in N. \tag{2.5}$$

Put

$$y_m(t) = \frac{z_m(t)}{\|z_m\|_C}, \quad v_m(t) = \int_a^t [y'_m(s) - \ell_{k_m}(y_m)(s)] ds \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad (2.6)$$

$$y_{0m}(t) = y_m(t) - v_m(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad (2.7)$$

$$w_m(t) = p_{k_m}(y_{0m})(t) - p(y_{0m})(t) + p_{k_m}(v_m)(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b. \quad (2.8)$$

Obviously,

$$\|y_m\|_C = 1 \quad \text{for } m \in N, \quad (2.9)$$

$$y_{0m}(t) = y_m(a) + p_{k_m}(y_m)(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad m \in N, \quad (2.10)$$

$$y_{0m}(t) = y_m(a) + p(y_{0m})(t) + w_m(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad m \in N. \quad (2.11)$$

On the other hand, from (2.3) and (2.6), by virtue of (2.5), we get

$$\|v_m\|_C \leq \frac{\rho_{k_m}(z_m)}{\|z_m\|_C(1 + \|\ell_{k_m}\|)} < \frac{1}{m(1 + \|\ell_{k_m}\|)} \quad \text{for } m \in N, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\|p_{k_m}(v_m)\|_C \leq \|\ell_{k_m}\| \cdot \|v_m\|_C < \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{for } m \in N. \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.9) and (2.10) it follows that $y_{0m} \in M_{\ell_{k_m}}$, and therefore, in view of (2.4), we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|p_{k_m}(y_{0m}) - p(y_{0m})\|_C = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

On account of (2.13) and (2.14), equality (2.8) implies

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|w_m\|_C = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

and, by virtue of (2.7), (2.9), and (2.12),

$$\|y_{0m}\|_C \leq \|y_m\|_C + \|v_m\|_C \leq 2 \quad \text{for } m \in N. \quad (2.16)$$

According to Lemma 2.3, without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} y_{0m}(t) = y_0(t) \quad \text{uniformly on } [a, b].$$

By (2.7), (2.9), (2.11), (2.12), and (2.15),

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|y_m - y_0\|_C = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\|y_0\|_C = 1, \quad y_0(t) = y_0(a) + p(y_0)(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b.$$

Consequently, y_0 is a nontrivial solution of equation (0.1₀).

On the other hand, from (2.2) and (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{k_m}(y_0)\| &\leq \|h_{k_m}(y_0 - y_m)\| + \|h_{k_m}(y_m)\| \\ &\leq r_0 \|y_0 - y_m\|_C + \frac{1}{\|z_m\|_C} \|h_{k_m}(z_m)\| \\ &\leq r_0 \|y_0 - y_m\|_C + \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{for } m \in N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, on account of (1.4) and (2.17), we obtain $h(y_0) = 0$. Thus y_0 is a nontrivial solution of problem (0.1₀), which contradicts the assumption of the lemma. \square

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $X = C([a, b]; R^n) \times R^n$ be a Banach space containing elements $x = (u, \alpha)$, where $u \in C([a, b]; R^n)$ and $\alpha \in R^n$, and having the norm $\|x\|_X = \|u\|_C + \|\alpha\|$. Define a linear operator $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $g \in X$ by

$$f(x) = \left(\alpha + u(a) + \int_a^t \ell(u)(s) ds, \alpha - h(u) \right), \quad g = \left(\int_a^t q(s) ds, c \right).$$

Obviously, problem (0.1), (0.2) is equivalent to the operator equation

$$x = f(x) + g \tag{3.1}$$

in the space X in the following sense: if $x = (u, \alpha) \in X$ is a solution of (3.1), then $\alpha = 0$, $u \in \tilde{C}([a, b]; R^n)$, and u is a solution of (0.1), (0.2), and vice versa: if $u \in \tilde{C}([a, b]; R^n)$ is a solution of (0.1), (0.2), then $x = (u, 0)$ is a solution of (3.1).

According to Lemma 2.2, we have that the operator f is compact. From the Riesz–Schauder theory it follows that the equation (3.1) is uniquely solvable if and only if the corresponding homogeneous equation

$$x = f(x) \tag{3.1_0}$$

has only a trivial solution (see, e.g., [3, Ch. XIII, § 1, Theorem 2]). On the other hand, equation (3.1₀) is equivalent to problem (0.1₀) in the above-mentioned sense. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let r and k_0 be numbers, the existence of which is guaranteed by Lemma 2.4. Then, obviously, for every $k > k_0$ the problem

$$u'(t) = \ell_k(u)(t), \quad h_k(u) = 0$$

has only a trivial solution. According to Theorem 1.1, for every $k > k_0$ problem (0.3_k) is uniquely solvable.

We will show that if u and u_k are solutions of problems (0.1), (0.2) and (0.3_k), respectively, then (1.6) holds. Put $v_k(t) = u_k(t) - u(t)$ for $a \leq t \leq b$. Then for every $k > k_0$

$$v'_k(t) = \ell_k(v_k)(t) + \tilde{q}_k(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad h_k(v_k) = \tilde{c}_k, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\tilde{q}_k(t) = \ell_k(u)(t) - \ell(u)(t) + q_k(t) - q(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad \tilde{c}_k = c_k - h_k(u).$$

Now by virtue of (1.2)–(1.5) we have

$$\delta_k = (1 + \|\ell_k\|) \max \left\{ \left\| \int_a^t \tilde{q}_k(s) ds \right\| : a \leq t \leq b \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{c}_k = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

According to Lemma 2.4, (3.2), and (3.3),

$$\|v_k\|_C \leq r(\|\tilde{c}_k\| + \delta_k) \quad \text{for } k > k_0.$$

Hence, in view of (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|v_k\|_C = 0$, and, consequently, (1.6) holds. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second author is deeply grateful to the Mathematical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic for its hospitality.

REFERENCES

1. E. BRAVYI, Note on the Fredholm property of boundary value problems for linear functional differential equations. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **20**(2000), 133–135.
2. R. HAKL, A. LOMTATIDZE, I. P. STAVROULAKIS, On a boundary value problem for scalar linear functional differential equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2004**, No. 1, 45–67.
3. L. V. KANTOROVICH and G. P. AKILOV, Functional analysis. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1977.
4. I. KIGURADZE and B. PŮŽA, Boundary value problems for systems of linear functional differential equations. *Folia Facultatis Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis. Mathematica*, 12. Masaryk University, Brno, 2003.
5. I. KIGURADZE and B. PŮŽA, On boundary value problems for systems of linear functional-differential equations. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **47(122)**(1997), No. 2, 341–373.
6. H. H. SCHAEFER, Normed tensor products of Banach lattices. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Partial Differential Equations and the Geometry of Normed Linear Spaces (Jerusalem, 1972)*. *Israel J. Math.* **13**(1972), 400–415 (1973).

(Received 20.12.2004)

Authors' addresses:

R. Hakl
 Mathematical Institute
 Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
 Žitkova 22, 616 62 Brno
 Czech Republic
 E-mail: hakl@ipm.cz

S. Mukhigulashvili

Current address:

Mathematical Institute

Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

Žižkova 22, 616 62 Brno

Czech Republic

E-mail: mukhig@ipm.cz

Permanent address:

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute

Georgian Academy of Sciences

1, M. Aleksidze St., Tbilisi 0193

Georgia

E-mail: smukhig@rmi.acnet.ge