
ORDINARY
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

On the Unique Solvability of the Dirichlet Problem for a Second-Order Linear Functional-Differential Equation

S. V. Mukhigulashvili

Razmadze Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences of Georgia, Tbilisi, Georgia

Received March 5, 2003

1. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND BASIC NOTATION

Consider the linear functional-differential equation

$$u''(t) = p(u)(t) + q(t) \quad (1.1)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u(a) = c_1, \quad u(b) = c_2, \quad (1.2)$$

where $a, b, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $p : \mathbb{C}([a, b]) \rightarrow L([a, b])$ is a linear operator, and $q \in L([a, b])$.

A *solution* of problem (1.1), (1.2) is a function $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'([a, b])$ satisfying condition (1.2) and satisfying Eq. (1.1) almost everywhere on $[a, b]$.

There is a wide literature on problem (1.1), (1.2) (see [1–9] and the bibliography therein). However, the problem has been studied comprehensively only for the case $p(u)(t) \equiv p_0(t)u(t)$ (e.g., see [3]).

In the present paper, we study the unique solvability of problem (1.1), (1.2) for the case in which p is a nonnegative operator (see Definition 2.1). We obtain sufficient conditions for the unique solvability of problem (1.1), (1.2), which generalize some earlier known results and are in a sense sharp. Special attention is paid to the case in which Eq. (1.1) is an equation with deviating arguments, i.e., has the form

$$u''(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t)u(\tau_j(t)) + q(t), \quad (1.3)$$

where $q, p_j \in L([a, b])$ and the $\tau_j : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ are measurable functions.

Throughout the paper, we use the following notation: $\mathbb{R} =] - \infty, +\infty[$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$; $\mathbb{C}([a, b])$ is the space of continuous functions $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_C = \max\{|u(t)| : a \leq t \leq b\},$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}'([a, b])$ is the set of functions $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ absolutely continuous together with the first derivative, $L([a, b])$ is the set of functions $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$, and $[x]_+ = (|x| + x)/2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We introduce the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. A linear operator $p : \mathbb{C}([a, b]) \rightarrow L([a, b])$ is said to be *nonnegative* if $p(x)(t) \geq 0$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ for every nonnegative function $x \in \mathbb{C}([a, b])$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a linear operator $p : \mathbb{C}([a, b]) \rightarrow L([a, b])$ is *concentrated on a set* $A \subset [a, b]$ if $p(x)(t) \equiv 0$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ for every function $x \in \mathbb{C}([a, b])$ such that $x(t) = 0$ for $t \in A$.

Then the following assertions are valid.

Theorem 2.1₁. *Let p be a nonnegative operator. Suppose that there exist numbers $\alpha \in [a, b]$ and $\beta \in [\alpha, b]$ such that p is concentrated on the set $[a, \alpha] \cup [\beta, b]$ and*

$$\beta - \alpha \neq b - a, \quad (2.1_1)$$

$$\int_a^b p(1)(s)ds \leq 16 \frac{b-a}{(b-a)^2 - 4\delta^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\delta = [\beta - \alpha - (b - a)/2]_+$. Then problem (1.1), (1.2) has exactly one solution.

Theorem 2.1₂. *Let p be a nonnegative operator. Suppose that there exist numbers $\alpha, \beta \in [a, b]$ such that p is concentrated on the set $[\alpha, \beta]$,*

$$\alpha < \beta, \quad (2.1_2)$$

and condition (2.2) is satisfied with $\delta = [(b - a)/2 - (\beta - \alpha)]_+$. Then problem (1.1), (1.2) has exactly one solution.

Remark 2.1. One can readily see that if condition (2.1_{*i*}) in Theorem 2.1_{*i*} ($i = 1, 2$) is not satisfied, then problem (1.1), (1.2) has exactly one solution without the additional condition concerning the smallness of the expression $\int_a^b p(1)(s)ds$.

Remark 2.2. Theorems 2.1₁ and 2.1₂ generalize the results obtained in [9]. In particular, it was shown there that problem (1.1), (1.2) has exactly one solution provided that p is a nonnegative operator and the condition $\int_a^b p(1)(s)ds \leq 16/(b - a)$ (which was shown to be sharp) is satisfied.

Example 2.1. This example shows that condition (2.2) in Theorem 2.1₁ is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by the condition

$$\int_a^b p(1)(s)ds \leq 16 \frac{b-a}{(b-a)^2 - 4\delta^2} + \varepsilon \quad (2.2_\varepsilon)$$

however small the constant $\varepsilon \in]0, 1[$ is. Let $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $\delta \in]0, 1/4[$, and $\varepsilon_0 \in]0, 1/8[$, and let α , β , μ_i , and ν_i ($i = 1, 2$) be the positive constants given by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= \frac{1 - 2\delta - 4\varepsilon_0}{4}, & \mu_2 &= \frac{1 - 2\delta + 4\varepsilon_0}{4}, \\ \nu_1 &= \frac{3 + 2\delta - 4\varepsilon_0}{4}, & \nu_2 &= \frac{3 + 2\delta + 4\varepsilon_0}{4}, \\ \alpha &= \mu_1 + \varepsilon_0, & \beta &= \nu_2 - \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'([\mu_1, \mu_2])$ and $y \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'([\nu_1, \nu_2])$ be arbitrary functions such that

$$x(\mu_1) = x(\mu_2) = 1, \quad x'(\mu_1) = \frac{1}{\mu_1}, \quad x'(\mu_2) = -\frac{1}{\mu_1 + \delta}, \quad (2.3_1)$$

$$x''(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } \mu_1 \leq t \leq \mu_2,$$

$$y(\nu_1) = y(\nu_2) = -1, \quad y'(\nu_1) = -\frac{1}{\mu_1 + \delta}, \quad y'(\nu_2) = \frac{1}{\mu_1}, \quad (2.3_2)$$

$$y''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } \nu_1 \leq t \leq \nu_2.$$